

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Serial No. 09/652,550
 Filing Date August 31, 2000
 Inventor Keiji Jono et al.
 Assignee KMT Semiconductor, LTD
 Group Art Unit 2811
 Examiner Thien Tran
 Attorney's Docket No. KM1-001
 Title: Methods of Forming an Isolation Trench in a Semiconductor, Methods of
 Forming an Isolation Trench in a Surface of a Silicon Wafer, Methods of
 Forming an Isolation Trench-Isolated Transistor, Trench-Isolated Transistor,
 Trench Isolation Structures Formed in a Semiconductor, Memory Cells and
 DRAMS

RESPONSE TO JUNE 25, 2002 TELECONFERENCE WITH EXAMINER

To: Examiner Thien Tran, Group Art Unit 2811
 Assistant Commissioner for Patents
 Washington, D.C. 20231

VIA FACSIMILE

From: Mark S. Matkin (Tel. 509-624-4276; Fax 509-838-3424)
 Wells, St. John, Roberts, Gregory & Matkin P.S.
 601 West First Avenue, Suite 1300
 Spokane, WA 99201-3828

Responsive to a teleconference with the Examiner on June 25, 2002,
 Applicant remarks as follows:

FAX COPY RECEIVED**JUN 28 2002****REMARKS**

Claims 1-32 are in the application for consideration.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

During a teleconference on June 25, 2002, the Examiner indicated to the undersigned that the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office had not received the Response to October 12, 2001 Office Action filed by Applicant on October 26, 2001. Therefore, attached to this Response are copies of said Response to

October 26, 2001 Office Action and accompanying documents filed by Applicant on October 26, 2001, including:

- Return Receipt Postcard with stamp of the PTO showing receipt of said Response to 10/12/01 Office Action (said postcard is readable, but cannot be legibly copied due to irradiation by the U.S. Post Office following the events of September 11, 2001)
- Transmittal Form dated 10/26/01 which accompanied said Response to Office Action
- Response to 10/12/01 Office Action
- Version With Markings to Show Changes Made

FAX COPY RECEIVED

JUN 28 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Given the above, Applicant hereby claims that proper and timely response to the Office Action of October 12, 2002 has been established, and therefore, it is requested that this application proceed to further prosecution on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 6/27/02

By: 

Mark S. Matkin
Reg. No. 32,268